REMARKS

This second After-Final Response is submitted in response to the Advisory Actions mailed January 11 and February 10, 2012. This response comprises the after-final responses previously submitted on 9 January and 10 February 2012, with additional comments and amendments. These additional comments and amendments are summarized in the section immediately below.

Applicants acknowledge previous receipt of the final office action in which the Examiner rejected claims 1-5, 10-11, and 22-23 as anticipated by Ohzuku (US 7722989); rejected claims 1-6, 8-11, and 22-23 as obvious in view of Ohzuku in view of Hollenkamp (WO 2004/082059); rejected claims 19-20 under § 112, second paragraph; and indicated that claims 7, 12, and 15-17 would be allowable. Applicants have amended the claims and respectfully submit that the case is now in condition for allowance.

Response to the Advisory Actions

In response to the Advisory Action, claim 8 has been amended to recite that R_1 and $_2$ are methyl and hexyl groups, respectively. Applicant respectfully submits that this designation is also apparent from the recitation of an N-methyl-N-hexyl-pyrrolidinium pyrrolidinium structure, but has amended the claim in order to expedite allowance.

Further in response to the Second Advisory Action, Applicant has amended claim 9 so that the minus signs are superscripts.

Finally in response to the Advisory Action, claim 12 has been further amended as suggested by the Examiner.

Rejection of claims 1-5, 10-11, and 22-23 as anticipated by Ohzuku

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitation of former claim 7, which has been canceled. Because claim 7 was indicated to be allowable, claim 1 and the claims that depend from it are now allowable.

Rejection of claims 1-6, 8-11, and 22-23 as obvious in view of Ohzuku in view of Hollenkamp

As described above, claim 1 and the claims that depend from it are now allowable as a result of the amendment incorporating the limitation of former claim 7.

Serial No. 10/584,379 Response to office action

Rejection of claims 19-20 under § 112, second paragraph

Claims 19 and 20 have been canceled.

New Claims

Claims 27-32 have been added, which depend from allowable claims 15-17. Claims 27,

29, and 31 correspond to original claim 2 and claims 28, 30, and 32 correspond to original claim

7; therefore the new claims do not add new subject matter.

Allowable Claims

Claim 12 has been re-written in independent form and is therefore in condition for

allowance. Amended claim 1 and its dependent claims are allowable.

Conclusion

Applicants believe that the present submission wholly responds to the office action and

places all claims in condition for allowance. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the

amendments be entered, the outstanding rejections be withdrawn, and the case passed to

allowance.

If it would be helpful in resolving any remaining issues in the case, the Examiner is

encouraged to contact the undersigned at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

BEST, Adam Samuel, et al.

P.O. Box 2463

Houston, Texas 77252-2463

By: /Marcella D. Watkins/
Attorney Marcella D. Watkins

Reg. No. 36,962

(T12) 244 40.42

(713) 241-1842

 $TS6454_3rdRFOA_10072011.doc$

7